



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,202	02/01/2001	Johnny B. Corvin	UV-181	7104
1473 7590 11/13/2008 ROPES & GRAY LLP PATENT DOCKETING 39/361 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704			EXAMINER ZHONG, JUN FEI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/775,202

Applicant(s)

CORVIN ET AL.

Examiner

JUN FEI ZHONG

Art Unit

2426

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35, 36, 41, 44-48 and 60-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/3508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an Amendment filed 7/29/2008. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 are pending. Claims 1, 35, 60-61 are amended. Claims 3-5, 7, 9-10, 19-34, 37-40, 42-43, 49-59 are cancelled. Claims 62-63 are newly added.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Priority

3. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119 (e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/179,548, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application.

- The provisional application fails to suggest that the “promotion is selected based upon the content of the program” as recited in claims 2 and 36;
- The provisional application fails to provide adequate support for “recording a flag with the promotion to indicate the beginning of the program during playback” as recited in claims 8 and 41;
- The provisional application fails to suggest that the “promotion is recorded at any desired point within the program” recited in claims 11 and 44;
- The provisional application fails to provide support for the particular method of distribution of the program, the promotion, and the program guide over either a “single broadcast channel” or a “plurality of broadcast channels” as recited in claims 14, 15, 46, and 47;
- The provisional application does not disclose details regarding the storage of the program, the promotion, and the program guide data with a “storage unit” or a “plurality of storage units”, as recited in claims 16, 17, 48, and 62-63.
- The provisional application does not disclose details regarding the program, the promotion, and the television program guide data being received on-demand from a television distribution facility as recited in claim 60.

Accordingly, claims 2, 8, 11, 14-17, 36, 41, 44, 47-48, 60, and 62-63 do not receive the benefit of priority and are being examined on the basis of the application filing date or 01 February 2001.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 8 and 41 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 8 depends on claim 7 which is canceled; claim 8 appears depending on claim 6. Claim 41 depends on claim 40 which is canceled; claim 41 appears depending on claim 35.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claims 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 recite the limitations "the beginning of the program", "the end of the program"; it is not clear "the program" referring to "the television program" or "the selected program". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35-36, 41, 44-48, 60-63 recite the limitation "the advertisement". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-18, 35-36, 41, 44-48, 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al. (Patent # US 5353121) in view of Goldschmidt Iki et al. (hereinafter "Iki", Patent # US 6483987), further in view of Zigmond et al. (Patent # US 6698020).

As to claim 35, Young discloses a system for providing an integrated recorded program/promotion playback asset (Fig. 22A, 22B), the system comprising:

a user input device (e.g., remote controller 130; Fig. 21) configured to receive a user input to select a television program to be recorded (i.e., receives record command when user press Record It Key 148) (see col. 18, lines 3-20); and

user equipment (Fig. 22A, 22B) operative to:

receive a selected program (e.g., receives television program at tuner 207);

determine whether the selected program is to be recorded (i.e., CPU 228 and/or controller 220 issues a record command);

in response to determining whether the selected program is to be recorded:

record the selected program for inclusion in the integrated recorded program/promotion playback asset (e.g., records television program using VCR);

play back the recorded program/program playback asset in response to receiving a user indication to play back the recorded program (see col. 8, line 36-col. 9, line 8; col. 19, line 1-col. 20, line 13).

Young does not explicitly disclose select a promotion to record.

In an analogous art, Iki discloses select a promotion to record for inclusion in the integrated recorded program/promotion playback asset;

record the selected promotion for inclusion in the integrated recorded program/promotion playback asset (see col. 8, line 59-col. 10, line 5; Fig. 5-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an option of recording as taught by Iki to the recording system of Young in order to provide a system automatically records television program either with or without commercials (see col. 1, lines 19-46).

Young and Iki fail to disclose the advertisement is inserted at one of the beginning of the program or the end of the program;

In an analogous art, Zigmond discloses the advertisement is inserted at one of the beginning of the program or the end of the program (see col. 14, lines 1-12; col. 16, lines 20-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have inserting the advertisement at any point as taught by Zigmond to the recording system of Young as modified by Iki in order to provide a means to specifically target, deliver, and present individually targeted advertisements to

viewers regardless of the source of the media in order to effectively reach the consumer (see col. 3, line 45 – col. 4, line 3).

As to claim 1, it contains the limitations of claim 35 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 35 above.

As to claim 2, Zigmond discloses the promotion is selected based upon the content of the program (see col. 12, line 60-col. 13, line 6).

As to claim 6, Young discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising recording both the program and the promotion on a storage unit (e.g., VCR 252; Fig. 22B).

As to claim 8, Young discloses the method of claim 7, further comprising recording a flag with the promotion to indicate the beginning of the program during playback (see col. 19, 46-61).

As to claim 11, Zigmond discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the promotion is recorded at any desired point within the program (see col. 14, lines 1-12; col. 16, lines 20-43).

As to claim 12, Iki discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising receiving the program and the promotion (see col. 3, lines 3-9).

As to claim 13, Young discloses the method of claim 12 further comprising receiving program guide data (see col. 18, lines 37-55).

As to claim 14, Young and Zigmond disclose the method of claim 13 wherein the program, the promotion, and the program guide data are received on a single broadcast channel 4 (see Zigmond Col 7, Lines 1-25; Col 14, Line 66 – Col 15, Line 16)(Young et al.: Col 18, Lines 37-55).

As to claim 15, Young and Zigmond disclose the method of claim 13 wherein the program, the promotion, and the program guide data are received on a plurality of broadcast channels (see Zigmond Col 7, Lines 1-25; Col 14, Line 66 – Col 15, Line 16)(Young et al.: Col 18, Lines 37-55).

As to claim 16, Young discloses the method of claim 13 further comprising storing the program, the promotion, and the program guide data (see Col 18, Lines 37-55; Col 19, Line 62 – Col 20, Line 13).

As to claim 17, Young discloses the method of claim 16 wherein the program, the promotion, and the program guide data are stored on a storage unit (e.g., VCR 252; Fig. 22B).

As to claim 18, Young discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the program, the promotion, and the program guide data are stored on a plurality of storage units (e.g., RAMs 232, 234, 236, 238, 240 and VCR 252; Fig. 22B).

As to claims 36, 41, 44, 46-48, they contain the limitations of claims 2, 8, 11, 18 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 2, 8, 11, 18 above.

As to claim 45, Young discloses the system of claim 35 further comprising a receiver that receives signals and data (Fig. 22A, 22B).

As to claim 62, Zigmond discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the advertisement is inserted by accessing a storage device (e.g., advertisement repository 86) (see col. 15, lines 24-34).

As to claim 63, Zigmond discloses the system of claim 35, wherein the user equipment further comprises a storage device, wherein the storage device is accessed to insert the advertisement (e.g., advertisement repository 86) (see col. 15, lines 24-34).

10. Claims 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young in view of Iki, further in view of Zigmond, and further in view of Michaud (WO 99/57904).

As to claim 60, note the discussion above, Zigmond discloses the program and the promotion are received on-demand from a television distribution facility (e.g., user requests the program and advertisement) (see col. 14, lines 25-35; col.18, lines 29-37).

Young, Iki and Zigmond fail to disclose the program guide data is received on-demand from a television distribution facility.

Michaud discloses the program guide data is received on-demand from a television distribution facility (see page 11, line27-page 13; Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have program data on-demand as taught by Michaud to the recording system of Young as modified by Iki and Zigmond in order to minimizing the usage of local memory in the user equipment in order to reduce the cost of the equipment (Michaud: Page 3, Lines 24 – Page 4, Line 8).

As to claim 61, Michaud discloses the system of claim 45, wherein the receiver receives signals and data on-demand from a television distribution facility (see page 11, line27-page 13; Fig. 3).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUN FEI ZHONG whose telephone number is (571)270-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFZ
10/28/2008

/Vivek Srivastava/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426